

University of Groningen

Institutional distance and institutional complexity in international business

Kunst, Vincent Eduard

IMPORTANT NOTE: You are advised to consult the publisher's version (publisher's PDF) if you wish to cite from it. Please check the document version below.

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Publication date:

2019

[Link to publication in University of Groningen/UMCG research database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kunst, V. E. (2019). *Institutional distance and institutional complexity in international business*. [Thesis fully internal (DIV), University of Groningen]. University of Groningen, SOM research school.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

The publication may also be distributed here under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license. More information can be found on the University of Groningen website: <https://www.rug.nl/library/open-access/self-archiving-pure/taverne-amendment>.

Take-down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Downloaded from the University of Groningen/UMCG research database (Pure): <http://www.rug.nl/research/portal>. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to 10 maximum.

**INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE AND INSTITUTIONAL
COMPLEXITY IN INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS**

Vincent E. Kunst

Publisher: University of Groningen
Groningen
The Netherlands

Printer: Ipskamp Drukkers B.V.

ISBN: 978-94-034-1478-2
978-94-034-1477-5 (e-book)

© Vincent Eduard Kunst

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system of any nature, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, now known or hereafter invented, included photocopying or recording, without prior written permission of the publisher.



rijksuniversiteit
 groningen

**INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE AND INSTITUTIONAL COMPLEXITY IN
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS**

PhD Thesis

to obtain the degree of PhD at the
University of Groningen
on the authority of the
Rector Magnificus Prof. E. Sterken
and in accordance with
the decision by the College of Deans.

This thesis will be defended in public on

Thursday 7 March 2019 at 16:15 hours

By

Vincent Eduard Kunst

Born on 16 December 1985
in Eelde, the Netherlands

Supervisor

Prof. Dr. S. Beugelsdijk

Co-Supervisor

Dr. A.A.J. van Hoorn

Assessment Committee

Prof. Dr. A.R. Muller

Prof. Dr. A.H.L. Slangen

Prof. Dr. K. D. Brouthers

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In 2017, as a part of my new-year's resolutions, I started tracking my time. Time-tracking is a practice in which you keep score of all your working hours and which projects you spend them on. Doing so, I found out that my working hours were horribly ineffective (something apparently a lot of people discover when they start tracking their time) and started feeling an increasing amount of guilt when checking my Facebook at the office. But tracking my time also allows me to say with a great level of certainty that this thesis represents hundreds and hundreds of hours of my work. However, since no man is an island, this thesis does not just represent my work, but also the efforts of many people around me who have tirelessly and selflessly supported me through this four-and-a-half-year process. I would like to take this opportunity to acknowledge their work and thank them for their support and input in the final thesis you see before you.

First, I would like to thank my supervisors throughout this process: Prof. Dr. Sjoerd Beugelsdijk, who always has made time for me both inside and outside of working hours, and whose electric sense of enthusiasm caused me to leave every meeting with renewed spirits. And Dr. Andre van Hoorn, who has supervised me from my master thesis onwards and has been greatly supportive of me, from the first time I approached him as a student, to discuss an idea I had based on an assignment he had given me. This idea would eventually turn into my master thesis, which in turn turned into the thesis you see here before you. I have enjoyed the collaboration with both of you in the past couple of years. I am grateful for your effort, the patience you have shown and freedom you have given me throughout this process. This thesis would not exist without your input, and I hope to continue to work with both of you on different projects in the future.

Second, I would like to thank my (other) co-authors in both the projects which have made it into the thesis and the ones that are aimed for direct publication. Specifically: Prof. Dr. T.

Kostova, Dr. M. van Essen, Dr. S.R. Gubbi, E. Spadafora & C.H. Chua. Lastly, Sarah Castaldi is not only one of my co-authors, but also has been my roommate for the last two-and-a-half years and a great friend for many more. I'm sorry for all the mess which frequently spilled over on to your desk and I'll miss our long methodologically inspired talks among many other things.

Third, I would like to thank the reading committee for their time and effort put into reading and judging this thesis. And I would like to thank my coworkers at both the department and at SOM, I enjoyed working and teaching together and will miss you all. I would like to especially thank the GEMmies, Ineke, Justin, Ellen & Arthur for their help in all the times I had to arrange something but was at a complete loss of how to do it. I would like to thank Henk, for his support and guidance in all of the teaching activities. And I would like to thank Rian for the many liters of coffee we drank together. I'm sure we'll find a project for us to work on together somewhere in the future.

Fourth, I would like to thank my parents and their partners (Loes & Stefan and Peter & Hedy), as well as my siblings, their partners and children (Stefan, Sanneke, Thomas & Rutger and Jolien, Bas, Teun & Anna) for their support and love, not just throughout this process, but throughout my life. I feel proud to call myself your son, brother, and uncle. Also, I would like to thank my family-in-law. During multiple visits I had to recuse myself to put in some additional working hours, your kindness and support in this matter means a lot to me. I would also like to thank my friends for their friendship (you know who you are :)). You have listened to me whine throughout the process about all the difficulties, while I'm certain that some of them, to all you with a *real* job, must have seen laughable.

Finally, and most importantly, I would like to thank my wife Nora. I first met her the day before I send my application for the PhD, so she has had a front-row seat for the entire process. I

can honestly say without exaggerating that I would not have been able to finish the thesis without your love, support, and help. I cannot remember the number of times you picked up the slack at home because I had to put in extra hours at work. I cannot remember the number of times you have been there for me when I felt at a loss. And I cannot remember the number of times you have given feedback on parts of my work and nudged me into the right direction. I am eternally grateful for your love. Thank you.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	2
1.2 AIMS OF THE THESIS	4
1.3 CONTRIBUTIONS AND THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS	6
1.4 READING GUIDE	8
 CHAPTER 2: CULTURAL DISTANCE AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: A META-ANALYTICAL REVIEW AND THEORETICAL IMPLICATIONS	13
2.1 INTRODUCTION	14
2.2 CULTURAL DISTANCE AND FIRM INTERNATIONALIZATION	18
2.2.1 National cultural distance	18
2.2.2 The process of firm internationalization	21
<i>Location choice</i>	22
<i>Entry and establishment mode</i>	24
<i>Degree of ownership</i>	27
<i>Integration of foreign operations</i>	28
<i>Performance</i>	29
2.2.3 Research questions	30
2.3 METHOD	32
2.3.1 Sample	32
2.3.2 Meta-analytic procedure	36
<i>HOMA procedure</i>	36
<i>MARA procedure</i>	37
2.3.3 Operationalizing firm internationalization and cultural distance	38
<i>Stages of internationalization</i>	38
<i>Cultural distance</i>	39
<i>Cultural distance data source</i>	40
<i>Control variables</i>	41
2.4 RESULTS	42
2.4.1 HOMA results	42
2.4.2 MARA results	54
2.5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	56
 CHAPTER 3: INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE AND MNE PERFORMANCE: DISENTANGLING THE EFFECTS OF NEW ORGANIZATIONAL INSTITUTIONALISM AND NEW INSTITUTIONAL ECONOMICS	65
3.1 INTRODUCTION	66
3.2 THEORY AND HYPOTHESES	69
3.2.1 New organizational institutionalism	69
3.2.2 New organizational institutionalism and institutional distance	70
3.2.3 New institutional economics	71
3.2.4 New institutional economics and institutional distance	72
3.3 METHOD	76
3.3.1 Meta-analytic procedure	78
3.3.2 Measurement	79
3.4 RESULTS	80
3.5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	84

CHAPTER 4. MULTIDIMENSIONALITY IN INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE RESEARCH	87
4.1 INTRODUCTION	88
4.2 INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE	91
4.2.1 Background	91
4.2.2 Current institutional distance practices	93
4.3 INSTITUTIONAL DISTANCE AS A MULTIDIMENSIONAL CONSTRUCT	97
4.3.1 Regulatory distance	98
4.3.2 Normative distance	102
4.3.3 Cognitive distance	104
4.4 DATA AND METHOD	105
4.4.1 Sample and data collection	105
<i>Regulatory pillar</i>	105
<i>Normative pillar</i>	106
<i>Cognitive pillar</i>	106
4.4.2 Statistical analysis	106
4.5 RESULTS	110
4.6 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	112
 CHAPTER 5: MANAGERIAL OWNERSHIP AND FIRM PERFORMANCE: THE CULTURAL BOUNDARIES OF AGENCY THEORY	115
5.1 INTRODUCTION	116
5.2 THEORY AND HYPOTHESES	118
5.2.1 Agency theory	118
5.2.2 Boundary conditions of agency theory	119
5.2.3 Agency in the Anglo-Saxon culture	120
5.2.4 Institutional context	123
5.3 DATA AND METHOD	125
5.3.1 Sample and data collection	125
<i>Dependent variable</i>	125
<i>Independent variables</i>	126
<i>Control variables</i>	126
5.4 RESULTS	127
5.4.1 Robustness tests	128
5.5 DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	131
 REFERENCE LIST	133
 APPENDICES	171
 NEDERLANDSE SAMENVATTING	188

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1:	Comparison of meta-analyses on firm-level consequences of cultural distance	35
Table 2.2:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to location choice	43
Table 2.3:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to entry mode	44
Table 2.4:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to establishment mode	45
Table 2.5:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to degree of ownership	46
Table 2.6:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to amount of transfer	47
Table 2.7:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to benefits of transfer	47
Table 2.8:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to performance	49
Table 2.9:	HOMA meta-analytic results cultural distance to performance separated	52
Table 2.10:	MARA meta-analytic results cultural distance to performance	55
Table 3.1:	HOMA Meta-analytic results	82
Table 3.2:	MARA Meta-analytic results	83
Table 4.1:	Contradictory effects of institutional distance on a range of IB phenomena	89
Table 4.2:	Current practices in operationalizing institutional distance	95
Table 4.3:	Description of items included in CFAs	107
Table 4.4:	Results of CFAs – statistical validity, reliability, and goodness-of-fit	112
Table 5.1:	Descriptives and bivariate correlations	125
Table 5.2:	Results – Anglo-Saxon culture, managerial ownership, and MNE performance	128
Table 5.3:	Robustness checks	139

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1:	Overview of chapters	5
Figure 2.1:	Distribution of cultural distance papers over time	20
Figure 2.2:	The firm internationalization process unpacked	22
Figure 2.3:	Summary findings on cultural distance and the process of firm internationalization	57
Figure 3.1:	Predicted effect of institutional distance on MNE performance per institutional perspective and consequences of excluding institutional quality measurement for three different sample structures	75
Figure 3.2:	Boxplots of correlations between institutional distance and performance, per sample structure	81
Figure 5.1:	Interaction between the Anglo-Saxon environment and managerial ownership	128

